# INTRODUCTION TO DIGITAL OPEN RESEARCH IN CLINICAL <u>PSYCHOLOGY</u>

## 1. GENERAL

SCHOOL	SOCIAL SCIENCES					
DEPARTMENT	PSYCHOLOGY					
LEVEL	Undergraduate					
COURSE CODE	Ψ-4722		SEMESTER		6th and above	
COURSE TITLE	INTRODUCTION TO DIGITAL OPEN RESEARCH IN CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY					
INSTRUCTOR	Sofia Triliva					
TITLE	Professor of Clinical Psychology					
TEACHING ACTIVITIES		WEEKLY HOURS		ECTS		
Lectures, group discussions, videos, presentations		3		6		
COURSE TYPE	Acquiring skills					
PREREQUISITES COURSES:	Statistics and research methods					
INSTRUCTION/EXAM LANGUAGE:	English / Greek					
OFFERED TO ERASMUS STUDENTS	No					
COURSE WEB PAGE (URL)						

# 2. LEARNING OUTCOMES

## Learning Outcomes

In the aftermath of the replication crisis in psychology open science gained an ever increasing importance. Open science strives to make scientific research, data and dissemination accessible to a wider audience. This lab course provides an introduction to the basic principles of digital open science and how to apply them in clinical psychological research. Participants will learn how to use modern research frameworks and tools by planning and conducting a small research project on their own.

By the end of the lab course, students will:

- Know what the replication crisis is
- Know what p-hacking and HARKing is
- Have a basic understanding of the open science research process
- Know how open science differs from "conventional" science
- Have learned about the pros and cons of open science
- Know what preregistration means
- Know what a registered report is
- Have gained experience in working in a team with students from another university

#### **General Competencies**

- Conduct research by the principles of open science
- Plan, organize, and conduct research by using digital communication channels
- Review research projects conducted by others
- Present research results

## 3. SYLLABUS

- 1. Introduction to digital open science
- 2. Scientific pitfalls and how to avoid them
- 3. Your personal open science project
- 4. Digital open scientist's toolbox Part I
- 5. \*Prepare your proposal presentation
- 6. Present and review your research proposals
- 7. Present and review your research proposals
- 8. \*Data collection
- 9. Digital open scientist's toolbox Part II
- 10. \* Prepare your final presentation
- 11. Present your project results
- 12. Present your project results
- 13. Summary and reflections
- \* Online only sessions

# 4. TEACHING and LEARNING METHODS - EVALUATION

INSTRUCTION METHOD	Lectures, group discussions, videos, presentations					
INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES USED	Video conferences, w	vikis, blogs, forums, emai	il			
TEACHING	Activity	Semester Workload	ECTS credits			
ORGANIZATION	Lectures and lab exercises	13 x 3 hours = 39	1,32			
	Research project	60 hours	2,40			
	Collaboration with fellow students	25 hours	1,00			
	Preparation for presentation and research report writing	40 hours	1,60			
	Course Total	164	6			
STUDENT	Research presentation	n and research report in E	English			
EVALUATION						

# 5. **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Bell, J. (2010). Doing your research project. NY: Open University Press.
- Munafo, M. R. (2017). A manifesto for reproducible science. *Nature: Human Behavior*.
- Ioannidis, J.P.A. (2018) All science should inform policy and regulation. PLoS Med 15(5): e1002576. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002576</u>
- Szucs, D, Ioannidis, J.P.A. (2017) Empirical assessment of published effect sizes and power in the recent cognitive neuroscience and psychology literature. PLoS Biol 15(3): e2000797. doi:10.1371/ journal.pbio.2000797
- Open Science Collaboration. (2015). Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science. *Research*.
- Ioannidis, J.P.A. (2018) Meta-research: Why research on research matters. PLoS Biol 16(3): e2005468. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2005468</u>
- Fanelli, D., Rodrigo, C., Ioannidis, J. (2017). Meta-assessment of bias in science. PNAS, *114*(14). www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1618569114
- Nosek, B.A., Spies, J.S. & Motyl, M. (2012). Scientific Utopia: II. Restructuring Incentives and Practices to Promote Truth Over Publishability. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 7(6), 615–631. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612459058</u>
- Open Science (2015). Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science. *Science*, 349(6251), aac4716.<u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac4716</u>
- Klein, R. A., Ratliff, K. A., Vianello, M., Adams, R. B., Bahník, Š., Bernstein, M. J., ... Nosek, B. A. (2014). Investigating Variation in Replicability. *Social Psychology*, 45(3), 142–152. <u>https://doi.org/10.1027/1864-9335/a000178</u>
- John, L.K., Loewenstein, G., & Prelec, D. (2012). Measuring the Prevalence of Questionable Research Practices With Incentives for Truth Telling. *Psychological Science*, *23*(5), 524–532. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797611430953</u>
- Nosek, B.A., & Lakens, D. (2014). Registered Reports. Social Psychology, 45(3), 137– 141. <u>https://doi.org/10.1027/1864-9335/a000192</u>

\*\* Additional literature will be assigned